

EAST HERTS COUNCIL

STANDARDS SUB-COMMITTEE – 25 APRIL 2016

REPORT BY MONITORING OFFICER

COMPLAINT IN RESPECT OF MUCH HADHAM PARISH  
COUNCILLOR I HUNT

WARD(S) AFFECTED:           NONE

---

**Purpose/Summary of Report**

- To consider a complaint in respect of Much Hadham Parish Councillor I Hunt

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STANDARDS SUB-COMMITTEE:**

**That:**

<b>(A)</b>	<b>Members determine whether the complaint should be referred for investigation</b>
------------	---

1    Background

1.1   The Monitoring Officer has received a complaint by Mr Rodney Key alleging that Much Hadham Parish Councillor I Hunt has breached the Code of Conduct of Much Hadham Parish Council.

2    Report

2.1   East Herts District Council under the Local Government Act 2000 is the responsible authority for the investigation of complaints in relation to an alleged breach of a Parish Council Code of Conduct within the authority area. The Council has agreed a procedure for considering complaints. In accordance with that procedure, the Sub-Committee will consider the complaint and decide what action to take.

2.2   In appropriate cases, the Monitoring Officer may seek to resolve the complaint informally without the need for a formal investigation.

Having consulted the Independent Person, it is not considered that the complaint can be resolved informally.

2.3 Complaints are considered in accordance with the Standards Complaints Procedure and assessment criteria set out in Appendix 2 of the Complaints Procedure (**Essential Reference Paper C** earlier in the agenda).

2.4 This contains a number of criteria as set out in the attached flow chart to help members to determine whether it is appropriate to refer the complaint for investigation. To assist Members in their deliberations the Monitoring Officer has set out how the information available applies to the criteria.

### 3.0 Analysis

3.1 The Member concerned at the time of writing is believed to be a member of Much Haddam Parish Council.

3.2 The allegations state that the Member has carried out the alleged breaches in their roles on the parish council.

3.3 There are a number of factors which can help to determine the public interest including amongst other things whether investigation will help to resolve the matter; what the likely cost of investigation is and whether the benefit of investigation to the residents of Much Haddam warrants the expenditure in this case.

3.4 The complaint is not out of time.

3.5 The complaint relates to new circumstances and is not substantially the same as a previous complaint.

3.6 The complaint is not anonymous.

3.7 The member has not apologised as far as the Monitoring Officer is aware.

3.8 The complainant has cited the alleged failure of Parish Councillor Hunt to follow the Leadership Principal as capable of being a breach of the Code.

3.9 Members are therefore invited to focus consideration on whether it is in the public interest to investigate this matter; whether there is sufficient evidence that, if proven, the circumstances of this

particular case are capable of being a breach of the code of conduct.

#### 4.0 The Complaints

4.1 The complaint is set out in the complaint form and accompanying documents that form **Essential Reference Paper B**

#### 5.0 Implications/Consultations

5.1 Information on any corporate issues and consultation associated with this report can be found within **Essential Reference Paper 'A'** earlier in the agenda.

#### Background Papers

None

#### Contact Officer:

Catherine Whitehead – Interim Head of Legal and Democratic Services, Tel - 01992 531514  
[catherine.whitehead@eastherts.gov.uk](mailto:catherine.whitehead@eastherts.gov.uk)